

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE	RY (CI)		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO	:		
AIRS ID#: 0251308 DAT	TE: <u>2/19/2010</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:30 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>11:00 AM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: CBS CONCRETE BLOCK & PAVER PLANTS					
FACILITY LOCATION:	5804 SW 177TH AVE				
	MIAMI 33193-5300				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: SERGIO ABILLEIRA PHONE: (305)408-3444					
CONTACT NAME:		PHONE	D:		
ENTITLEMENT PERIO					
	(end date) (end date)				
PART I: INSPECTION	COMPLIANCE STATUS (	check 🗹 only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANC	E MINOR Non-COM	IPLIANCE SIGNIFICAN	NT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
Stack Emissions					
1. Were visible emissi	1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?				
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?					
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,					
unless such rate is unachievable in practice?					
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)					
a) Was the batchin	g operation in operation durin	g the visible emissions test?			
duration?			Yes 🗌 No		
from the silo dust c	collector, are the visible emissi	eration are controlled by a dust co ions tests of the weigh hopper (ba ntative of the normal batching ra			

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)				
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of tannual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)				
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?  b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	□Yes ⊠ No			
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?				
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?				
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
	le 🗌			
<ol> <li>(check  appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary  ; 2) a relocatable  ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check  only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ing			
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)</li></ol>	ing ☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ⊠ No			

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREM	MENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)			
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))				
T				
Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)	t tales reasonable presentions to control unconfined			
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant emissions by:	take reasonable precautions to control uncommed			
	nd words, which shall include one or more of the following:			
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:  1) paying and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?  No.   No				
<ol> <li>paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control</li> </ol>				
2) application of water of chartonnemary said due				
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? \(\simeg \text{Yes} \square \) No				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of				
particulate matter from stock niles?	\BYes \BYes No			
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitig				
U) use of spray bar, chare, or partial enclosure to finds	3ate ethissions at the grob bount to the track: Mares Track			
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	S – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.			
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u> </u>			
Since the last inspection has there been				
a) installation of any new process equipment?	□Yes ⊠ No			
b) alterations to existing process equipment without	t replacement? Yes No			
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially of				
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the				
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.				
local program office?				
	<del>-</del>			
<u> </u>				
FRANK DELGADO	2/19/2010			
TRAIN DELONDO	217/2010			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
•	•			
	2/2011			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			
COMMENTS: ON SITE I MET SERGIO RINALDI, THE FA	ACILITY'S CEO. I ISSUED A NOTICE OF VIOLATION FOR			
FAILURE TO CONDUCT THE REQUIRED VISIBLE EMISS				
THIS FACILITY IS LOCATED INDOORS. THERE IS ONE				
CEMENT SILO. THE SILO HAS ONE DUST COLLECTOR. ALSO THERE IS ONE CONCRETE PAVERS PLANT WITH				
ONE 75 TON GREY CEMENT SILO AND ONE 50 TON WH				
	AT THE TIME OF THE INSPECTION THE PAVERS PLANT IS			

SELDOM USED. THE HOUSEKEEPING IS GOOD.